Writ Petition No. 378 (SS) of 2007

Hon'ble M.M. Ghildiyal, J

Heard Sri K.K. Tiwari, learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri Anjali, learned standing counsel for Union of India on behalf of respondent no. 4, Smt. Beena Pandey, learned standing counsel for the State of Uttar Pardesh, on behalf of respondents no. 5 and 6 and Sri Paresh Tripathi, learned Brief Holder for respondent nos. 1, 2 and 3.

Learned counsel for both the parties are agree to decide the writ petition at its admission stage itself.

By mean of this writ petition the petitioner has prayed to issue a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to finally allocate the petitioner for State of Uttarakhand.

The submission of the petitioner is that petitioner was appointed as Constable in the year 1984 and petitioner is permanent resident of State of U.P. and he has opted for State of U.P.

In the Final Allocation List, name of this petitioner finds place for State of Uttar Pardesh

I do not find any ground to entertain this writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India or to restrain the respondents not to relieve the petitioner for State of U.P.

Writ petition lacks of merit and as such, is dismissed. No order as to costs.

26.04.2007
SKS